

REMARKS

Examiner is thanked for the Official Action of 2009.11.30. This request for reconsideration is intended to be fully responsive thereto.

CLAIM AMENDMENT

Claims 16, 21, 22 and 26 were amended. Claims 18, 19, 24 and 25 were cancelled. All changes are fully supported in the original specification, and no new matter has been added.

Claim 16 was amended based on paragraph [0010], [0275] and [0305], Claim 21 was amended based on paragraph [0290] and [0291], Claim 22 was amended based on paragraph [0010], [0275], [0305], [0380], [0388] and [0389], and claim 26 was amended based on paragraph [0439] and [0440], respectively.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC § 102

Claims 16-19 and claims 21-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102, as being anticipated by US Patent Application Publication 2006/0106835 (hereinafter "Murray et al"). Applicant respectfully disagrees. The difference between the present invention and Murray et al. is not disclosed or suggested by Murray et al. However, Applicant amended claims 16-19 and claims 21-26 to clarify the differences between the present invention and Murray et al. to facilitate the examination process. Therefore, the

rejection based on 102 is moot.

If for any reason, the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowable, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the Applicant's undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

/Tracy M Heims 53010/
Tracy M. Heims
Reg. No. 53,010

Apex Juris, pllc
12733 Lake City Way Northeast
Seattle, Washington, 98125
Email: usa@apexjuris.com
Phone (206) 664-0314 Fax (206) 664-0329